REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's further final Office Action of December 14, 2007 issued with respect to the present RCE application, the Applicant submits the following Remarks.

Regarding 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of pending independent claim 1, and claims 3-6 dependent therefrom, is enabled by the description of the present specification and is clear, because the operation and structure of the filter, circuitry and clock of the claimed invention are clearly described at paragraphs [8088]-[8090], [8136] and [8157]-[8164] and illustrated in Figs. 389-392 and 411 of the present application.

35 USC 102(e) and 103(a) Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 4-6 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed or suggested by any one or more of Uchida, Yamazaki, Chemla and Kitano, for at least the reasons previously submitted by the Applicant.

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:

De holishy

Simon Robert Walmsley

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street

Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762